Declassified in Part - Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2012/03/13 : CIA-RDP10-01569R000100060013-1

DETRULICIES ADVISORY CONSULTED

Verbatin Minutes of Meeting held in Nos Philips North Religion

Rear Admired R. H. Hillenkoetter, Director of Central Intelligence, in the chair A STATE

MEDITERS PRESENT

Mr. W. Park Armstrong, Special Assistant to the Secretary of State for Research and Intelligence Major General Stafford L. Burin, Director, OSSEA Rear Admiral Theore B. Inglie, Chief of Heral Intelligence

Colonel I. P. Museste, acting for Director of Intalligence, Office of Deputy Chief of Staff,

Operations, URAF Dr. Walter F. Golby, Chief, Office of Intelligence, Atomie Butter 6

Major General Walter R. Todd, Day Joint Intelligence Group, JOS

ALSO PRESIDE

Mr. Prescott Childs, Control Intellige Colonel Merritt B. Booth, Bepart Mr. Phillip Strong, I ht. Col. Edger J. Bressy, J Captain John H. Colour, Will s of the lang Captain John M. Color, was Major W. G. Raint, Department of Air Mr. Villiam G. Tresbeart, Atomic Rowny Consistent Colonel Vendell G. Johnson, Julet Intelligence Con-SIA

CEA

OIA

25X1

MINUTES OF IAC MERTING

) DECEMBER 1948

DIRECTOR: The paper we had on the agenda today was the Scientific Attache. You know that went around and was approved by the IAC then Mr. Perrestal disapproved it in the Security Council. We tried to get earlier a proposed directive from the Research and Development Beard, but it didn't come around until the lot of Becamber. Copies were handeservied to everybedy. I don't know whether you have had time to consider it or not. If not, we had better leave it until the next meeting.

MR. ARMSTRONG: We are ready to discuss it.

DIRECTOR: This was made by Mr. Bockler of the Research and Development Board. He said he thought Mr. Perrestal would approve it, but did not assure us he would.

ADM. INULES: Did it require the approval of Mr. Forrestal only? DIRECTOR: I den't know.

AME. INCLUS: He only has one vote.

DIRECTOR: What are State's comments on this Proposed Mirective here?

MR. ARMSTRONG: We den't find that the changes proposed are objection—
able in a substantive way. In fact we den't feel they change the intent and
the spirit of the Directive as it had been approved by the IAC to any comsiderable extent. There are one or two minor corrections that I propose
for clarity, but the Department would be willing to accept the Directive
as revised by the Office of the Secretary of Defence.

DIRECTOR: What are the changes? We have a few changes too.

MR. ARMSTRONG: In paragraph o, page 2, unless you read that with great care you wouldn't get the full significance of that phrase "for exchange purposes" and you wouldn't relate that to the word "unclassified." So change it to read as follows: "for the purpose of inducing exchanges with other countries."

DIRECTOR: You.

MR. ARMSTRONG: That makes the word "unclassified" fall into the context, where before it is of gratuitous significance. That is the only language change we propose.

DIRECTOR: Towny?

AIM. INGLIS: The Mavy agrees in principle with the paper. We have a few editorial suggestions we would like to make eventually when we get around to it, and one which would possibly have substantive matter.

DIRECTOR: Dr. Colby?

IR. COLBY: Seems quite all right. I would say a little verbose.

DIRECTOR: Woot

GER. TODD: No.

COL. MUSSETT: Except one thing. We understood we were to discuss this thing but not essuit expedies to the final paper.

DIRECTOR: We will have a discussion here.

GEM. IRRIN: We agree with the principle.

DIRECTOR: We agree with the principle. It seems much the same as the other one. I think they should put in mostly, it is an editorial change, I think Havy has the same thing for the last paragraph. "The National Military Establishment will assign specially qualified scientific personnel" there should be a qualifying clause in there. "As practicable," or samething. You may ask them to do an impossible thing.

Mt. ARMSTRONG: That is in paregraph o.

DIRECTOR: Paragraph c.

AIM. INCLIS: We have one in d and one in g and then we have a change in f. all along the some lime.

DIRECTOR: That one there is saying they must do semething and whether it can be done is another thing. What are your changes, Temmy?

ADM. INGLES: In subparagraph a the sentence: "The Departments of Army, Nevy, and Air Porce will collect scientific and technological information," insert after "information," "Embluding basic research when accompany." Our Office of Neval Research would like to have the right to

SECHEI

collect its our information conserving basic research, where and when this agency that is being set up here is unable to satisfy our requirements, as well as the applied research. That was the reason for that. Then I had another suggestion which parallels Mr. Armstrong's in subparagraph of the clarify the language by "the Department of State with available unclassified information pertaining to the basic sciences." We didn't think much of the grammer and construction of that paragraph of "vor with available unclassified information pertaining to the basic sciences," and then delete the rest, from that through the rest of the sentence.

DIRECTOR: a will read them: "The Matienal Military Zetablishment shall, for the purpose of inducing emshanges with other countries, provide the Department of State with evallable unclassified information pertaining to the basic sciences." And them delete the rest of it?

ADM. INCLIS: You. And down in paragraph d after the word "will" "or from the agencies served, other than the Entiemal Military Establishment";
after "will" insert "as practicable." "... will, as practicable, appoint
specially qualified scientific or technical personnel."

BIRECTOR: I think that is a good addition there-

ADM. INCLES: And the same thing in paragraph a. "... appropriate measures to obtain the necessary funds from the Congress and will"; then insert "as precticable," "assign specially qualified scientifie" and change "er" to "and" "technical personnel." Then we had a change that might be more substantive in paragraph f. "The Department of State shell call upon agentics of the Government which require scientific or technological information for advice and assistance" and then cross out the root and substitute "for advice and assistance as may be necessary in connection with the requirements of this directive." Now the reason, that was given to me, for that suggestion was to breaden it out beyond the advice and assistance of organizing and staffing offices in Machington and abreed. It was felt possible advice and assistance as to collection and discomination might be helpful also.

DIRECTOR: Anybody got any comments on those changes? Park!

-\$-

MR. AMMSTRONS: I am not certain I get it.

AIM. IMILE: The suggestion is that the entire paragraph read:
"The Department of State shall call upon agencies of the deverment which
require scientific or technological information for advice and assistance
as may be necessary in commention with the requirements of this directive."
That breadens it out rather than restricts it.

DR. COLEY: May I impuire about an early change. Technical information also includes basic seignost

ADM. IMPLIE: Including basic when necessary. "The Departments of Army, Havy, and Air Perce will collect scientific and technological information, including basic research when necessary, to most the requirements of the Hational Hilitary Metablishment."

ONS. TORDS I would like to comment an paragraph o, if I may. The closing part of that sentence some to no should be qualified. That is, "will, as presticable, assign specially qualified scientific or technical personnel to the staffs of their respective attaches" and "at selected" or "appropriate" "United States Missions." You wouldn't want them in many countries where we do have Missions. "Selected United States Missions for this collection responsibility."

AMM. INDLIS: I certainly agree with the sense of that. It shouldn't be a requirement. How would you like "will, as practicable and necessary, assign specially qualified?" "Will, as they find practicable and necessary."

DIRECTOR: I would rether have General Todd's "selected" on that.

MR. AMMSTRONG: That ties in with paragraph b where the BOI is given the responsibility.

ADM. INGLIS: C.E.

COL. MURRETT: Who does the selecting? Back individual's responsibility?

ADM INGLIS: "The Departments of the Army, the Mavy, and the Air Perce shall take measures." There too, who is going to do the selecting?

COL. MISSETT: "Appropriate" might be better.

BIRECTOR: I don't think it makes much difference.

COL. MUSSETT: Since this is by way of a directive that they shall do so and so.

DIRECTOR: Make it "appropriate V. S. Missions."

ADM. INGLIS: Who is going to decide what is appropriate?

OOL. MISSETT: If we used your version "as practicable and necessary" it puts it on the Department conserved up here. We will put it as you suggest.

ADM. INFLIS: It is tightening it up to say "as they may find practicable and necessary."

COL. MUSSETT: It seems to make it a little plainer if you put it in up here.

ADM, ISSLIS: See, you have get a subparagraph b "The Mirmeter of Gentral Intelligence, in collaboration with pertinent agencies, shall determine these countries."

GES. TOOD: "Such U. S. Missions as may be required for this collection responsibility." You are charging it definitely or at these U. S. Missions as required for this collection responsibility.

ADM. INDLIS: Your suggestion is after "U. S. Missions" insert "as may be required?"

GNH. TORD: "At such U. S. Missiems as may be required for this collection responsibility." "as required."

DIRECTOR: Will you give us your comments, Br. Colby?

PR. COLEY: I am worried about the comment I agend about at first.

You mean on these occasions where there are me scientific attaches?

ADM. IMSLIS: The idea was where the mechanism set up by this paper didn't fulfill the requirements. In my case, in the Many, in the field of basis research that we would reserve the privilege of getting that curselves if we couldn't get it through the machinery that there is, as necessary.

DR. COLHY: Where the machinery was not necessary, it doesn't make the collection responsibility lacking.

ADM. INDLES: In a sense it gives a threshold area there. It does we might consider it wasn't entisfactory for our purposes where you or

SECRET

F ...

State Department might insist that it was.

GEE. TODD: There might be periods of time when the scientific attaches were not on station or present and weeldn't went to.

DR. COLEY: Or where they were not assigned?

ADM. INGLIS: Or where given priority to a particular field of research which overrode semething we thought was of a higher priority. That was the reason for putting it in. If there is a rather serious objection from the other numbers of the Committee, I wouldn't went to have a split of the paper over that. It is not that important to us.

DE. COLET: It girusk me that would be consions when basic research collection was immediately assumed by the other attaches so the other man become by-passed.

ADM. IMPLIE: The thought was only that this clause would only be implemented when our requirements were not satisfied by the State Department machinery that is set up here.

DR. COLEE: There is no machinery to direct an attache, being instructed to other agencies, toward items which are being neglected; because attaches, of occurse, are appointed by their users.

AIM. IMPLIS: He might not even be qualified in that particular field.

The priority of his targets might place the priority so far down the list he wouldn't get around to doing it in time to entirely our mode.

MR. AMBSTROMS: I presume, of course, by the preceding sentence that the Mavy would ask that its requirements be satisfied only after finding that couldn't be the case.

DIRECTOR: In the following sextence there are "utilizing whosever practicable the facilities." It has a cover clause that seems to me it doesn't take saything away, but it may add to it. I mean to get a thing done. Ratio you say other comments?

DR. COLEY: "When requested." That means it will be requested?
DIRECTOR: Wee?

CEM. TODD: No.

DIRECTOR: Colonel Museett?

COL. MUSSETT: Do.

DIRECTOR: General Irwint

OMM. INVINCE No.

DIRECTOR: Since this thing just came are and we know it was a very short notice for you people here in the Counittee, we will now write it up and send it around for formal approval.

MR. CHILDS: And send it heak to the Security General as a substitute proposal for the other case.

DIRECTOR: I don't know what we will do if they don't approve this one.

IR. CHILDS: We shall request in a letter to Mr. Source that he submit

it to the Security Council alone it had been reconsidered by the IAC and
the employed proposal is suggested as a substitute.

DIRECTOR: This one says practically the same thing.

ADS. ISSLES: Since the representative of the R & D Board has agreed to this one, it will be helpful.

DIRECTOR: He is going to be there. That is the last item of the formal agends.

We have some semi-formal ence we would like to bring up.

One is the meetings. We haven't had then very often and I would like to

know - shall we have them at fixed times?

MR. AMSERONS: I think it is a good idea, Hilly, even if the interval is fairly large. My experience has been that a machinery of this kind tends to got rusty and the weeds grow ever it if it isn't used and there is a tendency to bring more problems before a Committee of this kind if there is a scheduled meeting.

DIRECTOR: I agree with you on that myself. Any other? The Havy?

ADM. INGLES: I agree with Mr. Arastrong and will add another to show
for the record that we are alive to our responsibilities and do meet once
in ambile. If you allow five or six menths in between; the record descrit
look very good.

DR. COLET: I agree.

GEM. TODD: I agree wheleheartedly.

COL. MUSSETTE I agree.

DIRECTOR: Every two weeks, maybe?

Alm. INSLIE: Not less then once a nouth.

MR. AMISTROMS: Yes.

DIRECTOR: Not less than once a month?

ADM. IMPLIS: Regular, monthly, rentine meetings, and have them in between when some matter arises which requires immediate attention.

GOL. MUSSETT: I would like them ease a mouth.

DIRECTOR: The next thing is what day of the nexth? I would like to suggest this, it may be a help, I would like to suggest, since it is ease a month, the third Priday. The Security Scummil has a morting the day before and there may be things you can bring up here the next day.

GET TODD: That is good as far as JOS is concerned.

BIRRCTOR: Morning or afternoun?

MR. AMISTRONG: It doesn't make any difference on Friday. The third Priday.

GEN. INNIH: I would prefer the afternoons.

DIMECTOR: The third Friday of each mouth at this time. Semetimes, when there is a let to be demo, we can get started earlier.

GOL. MUSSHIT: I am fairly sure I can accept that for General Cabell.

BIRSCYCR: Do we want another one this month? Let's have one, if it
is agreeable, there is not much to be done but just get out in the open air.

Desember 17 will be the mont one. Unless you are otherwise metified.

Let me get your viewe on a subject here that has come up.

Admiral Inglis and I are rather more familiar with it because it come up

through the Havy. We have a letter addressed here from Gesmodere Greensen,

Director of the Haval Petroleum Reserves. The firm is a civilian firm of

and they are making various estimates and other

researches into potroloum. He wrote me that he wanted an emceptionally large report on the availability of crude oil on a world-wide basis and the information he asked me for in this letter he would turn over to this civilian firm. He asked if we would turn over to him all the Haval Attache reports on that. We wrote back that we could not supply intelligence material to any civilian firm or organization and that they could get those through the Havy. He then some over to see me about it and said his letter

SECRET

25X1

had been a little confusing and that he really didn't want Navy Attache reports alone, but our dope on crude oil reserves in the world. And I told him in that case we would give it after taking it up with the Security Greencil members, about it going to a civilian firm, and he assured me it had always been very discrete in its dealings. Then we sent our man over to got the details of it and Semmedore Grousses came up them and said his objective was to obtain all available raw intelligence material, particularly statistics on exploration, discoveries of new fields, development and production of crude petroleum. This on a continuing basis as reports came in. Primarily MA, MA, AA, State, 00, and 050 reports. That was the first we had heard about that. I talked to Addrel Inglis about it and our epinion is that such reports should not be given to a civilian firm. We will make him up a survey on the information which we have on grade oil reserves and tell him that is our estimate. I must be get your epinion. Do you want your reports going out like that? We would much prefer not to give them. If you say give - I would like to ask Admiral Inglis to fill out on that because he was first approached on this.

ADM. IMPLIE: I can't add much to what you said. What was represented to so by Commodero Greenman that they are the outstanding experts in this scentry and possibly in the world. They are sensulting engineers on the subject of cil reserves. In fact, not only proven reserves, but unpreven reserves. It was represented to so that this firm was entirely unbiased and unprejudiced. That it wasn't obligated in any way to a particular eil company. It is a high-principled firm so that we need not fear that any one company was going to obtain any unfair competition over any other firm. It was also represented as being discrete, American, patriotic citisens. And the thing that bothers me about it is that they have a reputation which probably no Government against, even CIA, could compete with. That is, a prefessional reputation as consulting engineers. So I think we may have a chestuat in our hands that is going to have to be eracked along that line. A case will be made if we refuse to give these reports ever. We can't hope to be able to evaluate them as his company sould and if it would be to the advantage of the sountry and the departments

interested in potreleum to allow them to do this work, as an altermative possibly the Arap-Skvy Potroleum Beard would smallew this pill more readily if it were represented that GIA would enter directly into a contract with this company. But still GIA could not retain central of this whole proposition. Then if GIA manded to give them five thousand dollars, or ten thousand dollars for their advice the end product is a joint effort of GIA and this very fine firm of consulting engineers without losing control of the source material. But I don't think this is a simple problem and I don't think any solution which will be entirely acceptable to you will be acceptable by the users without argument. Genneders Greenman is only setting for the Arap-Skvy Potroleum Beard, and I might also add that Commodore Greenman told no this was initiated a year or a year and a helf age by Secretary Porrectal when he was Secretary of the Skvy. So there is a little pride of authorship involved.

DIRECTOR: All I can say on that some thing is that this firm unquestionable must be very good, but if we give them all our information they can make a re-estimate and come out with a report of all the crude oil in the world. Our sum people can come out with an estimate and when you are dealing with two or three hundred billion barrels, whether you are ten billion barrels off or not, or twenty billion, when you are dealing with up to two hundred billion barrels it wouldn't make much difference. When you got up there to where this thing is, like in geometry, instead of taking the circle, you use the size of the circle. Any evaluation is going to be of not much import because both of them are going to be estimates.

GEN. TODD: If that error occurred in one particular area, it would be of considerable significance.

DIRECTOR: It may be of significance but mone of us around here would over know about it and whether it would be an exact error or not, an error that actually occurred, for the world petroleum is going to last for another 25 years.

ADM. IMAIR: I think "See" has a point though that the strategical importance of the area might have a great significance as compared to the

-10-

estimated oil that is in the ground in that area. In other words, if we overestimate the oil in Veneguela, an overestimate might make a tremendous difference.

DIRECTOR: It might, but I think if he is using the same raw materials as we are it is not going to be that much greater. We take the Middle East and make an estimate from the raw material which we have, and we have a potroleum engineer fairly high in the eil business who makes an estimate. We estimate there is in that area one hundred billion barrols of eil, and this boy comes out and says you have yours way up there - there are only seventy-five billion barrols.

GEW. TODD: I was thinking of areas such as Brazil, who have been given encouragement to develop that which is believed to be there. When our estimate is wrong and Brazil goes shead and develops it, it is expensive.

ADM. IMPLIS: You might have United States capital and the Petroleum Board puts a let of Unole San's memor down there. I wonder if we have all the rew material that is available. I wender if this consulting firm has some mere? I think they would say they have whether they have or not.

GEH. INMIN: Are you going to take those people's estimates in preference to our count.

DIRECTOR: No, add then to our own. What we want to do is know whether you want us to give them your raw anterial reports on it.

ADM. INDLIE: I den't like to establish that precedent. Next it will be the consulting engineers in chemistry or biology that are going to demand the same thing.

GEN. TODD: Would it be practicable for them to put in an integrated working group or have access to the autorial?

GRE. IRWIN: They could assist your evaluators.

DIRECTOR: We may be able to do that.

ADW. IMPLIE: That is the idea I hade

GEW. IRWIN: I think that is a lot better.

ADE. INCLIS: As an alternative to a flet turndom.

GEN. INWIN: Then you food then what you think they sould use?

SECREI

ADM. INSLES: Hilly would work with them much as you are working with

25X1

GES. IRWIN: They do research jobs for us. That is fair enough.

DIRECTOR: I prefer it much better that way. We have very good relations with a number of the big oil companies, only in the past it has worked that we wouldn't communicate what we get from one company to emother. This may be one place where the slip came and you would be licked on it. We will go should then on that basis and suggest we hire this gay to do the research.

MR. CHILDS: He would want to keep that for his own company.

DIRECTOR: He would keep a copy of it. We can go shead on that.

There is another preposal and this is one that touches us all very much. Admiral Inglis suggested it and it has been taken up indirectly with Admiral Seners who thinks it would be a fine idea and approved it. That is, getting a request from us to the Security Council to get out a directive that there will be no more publicity and no more talking about intelligence. The Havy sent this paper today, and we will go through it.

"The current publicity conserving intelligence is of such a character and values as to defeat the efforts of all responsible agencies. Articles are appearing in publications which deal entirely with intelligence as a subject."

A lot of them have come out - radio shows - they come out and talk about it whether it is right or wrong. It doesn't help the country.

"Publicity concerning intelligence is definitely undesirable for many reasons, some of which are as follows:

"Basically, the success of any intelligence system depends upon effective security.

"Sources, methods, and degrees of success are highly classified and publicity of any kind pertaining thereto defeats the purposes of intelligence.

"Publicity serves to alort our potential enemies to intelligence activities and increases the difficulty of collecting information by fecusing attention thereto. Paverable publicity is especially detrimental."

I think that is true.

intelligence can, all too easily, be paid for with American lives.

JECRET

"Lithough certain intelligence publically is fintional, the competion between the fact and fistion remains and our be embyond by trained fereign intelligence agants. Intelligence experience on the part of certain writers milities to a major degree attempts to fistionalism, as the indeprend of any individual unconstructly colour his obstances and actions. The present public will often accept fistionalisms entertail as being reliable and are thoughy might.

"Virtually all parame the are engaged in intelligence week here a find of information which if organized into a manufact to extension. This applies to undensified as will as classified information. There we have engaged in intelligence publishing here as a but enough the others. Our-enquently the values of publishing will gree,"

The directive that is prepared to be sent in to the Inticent Security Council, title, "Control of Publishing Concerning Intelligence."

"I. My publicity exceeding intelligence furbul or flational is potentially detrimental to the effectiveness of an intelligence activity and to the metional geometry, hospitagly, the following policy of the Intican's Security Countil is assessed.

- *(a) Departments and agencies of the United States Greenmant shall not possible the distingure for publication of any information conjuncing intelligence or intelligence authorities.
- *(b) Superharms and againston of the United States Greenment shall use every effect to inform the management of all privately exact make of publicates of the danger to the latienth Security of any publicates consuming intelliggence and shall obtains to discusse then from possibiling such publicates through their suppositions.

Hose of we have had time to look that owns. I would like to tame that over to the Countities and have then draw it up. I would like to have one thing. The heads of the departments or againsts will not possit disclarate for publications of any information, emopt by individuals indicated by the head of the department or against. There may be a time when you would want to do it and that will be more acceptable if the Secretary of the Many map I will indicate Admiral Inglis to give out information. That wouldn't do any income. If it is agreeable we will turn this over to our working large and lot them draw countiting up. Haybe we can get it through, and maybe not. I don't see may have, do you?

DERUGEOR: That is from the civilian standpoints.

MR. AZMERCHO: Do up skill have in force that discotive of the testifying before Congressional Constituent

CREI

DIRECTOR: You, each department head out give his orders like that.

The Atterney General railed on that, Rech department head out forbid it;
we teak that up and the Atterney General said that worked for each departments head, he didn't have to teakify.

ADM. INCLUS: It seem't necessary to have a Security Council.
directive, but you haven't get a department.

DIRECTOR: The Congress can call on that department head and he decembs have to testify, but as for as GLA's head is conserved, he would have to stend and go to jail. Mr. Calley will be the same way.